

MIV-Zunahme durch verbesserten öffentlichen Verkehr Begleitmassnahmen zur Geschwindigkeitsreduktion des MIV nötig

Die Förderung des öffentlichen Verkehrs (öV) gilt allgemein als Lösung für Verkehrsprobleme. Schnellere öV-Angebote führen, wie sich zeigt, dennoch zu einer regionalen Zunahme des motorisierten Individualverkehrs (MIV), die den allgemeinen Zuwachs übersteigt. Ein im Rahmen des internationalen Symposiums «Networks for Mobility» in Stuttgart 2004 veröffentlichtes Poster erklärt die Kausalzusammenhänge. Die Autoren kommen zu Schluss, dass nur dann eine Zunahme des Verkehrs vermeiden werden kann, wenn die Beschleunigung des ÖV durch Massnahmen zur Geschwindigkeitsverringern im MIV begleitet werden. (Sprache: Englisch)

Weitere Informationen:

Verkehrswissenschaftler.de
Uni Stuttgart – Symposien

<http://www.verkehrswissenschaftler.de>
<http://www.uni-stuttgart.de/fovus/>

Une plus grande efficacité des TP peut avoir des effets pervers La limitation de la vitesse du trafic automobile constitue une mesure d'accompagnement indispensable

L'amélioration des transports publics est généralement prônée comme principale solution aux problèmes de trafic. Une étude rendue publique dans le contexte du symposium « Networks for Mobility » qui s'est tenu à Stuttgart (Allemagne), en 2004, démontre toutefois que des transports publics plus rapides peuvent induire, localement, une augmentation du trafic individuel qui dépasse l'augmentation généralisée du trafic automobile. Les auteurs de l'étude ont réalisé un poster exposant les causes de ce phénomène. Il s'avère, en fin de compte, que les mesures d'accompagnement visant à réduire la vitesse du trafic motorisé privé sont indispensables pour prévenir cet impact négatif. (langue : anglais)

Pour plus d'informations (en allemand):

Verkehrswissenschaftler.de
Uni Stuttgart – Symposien

<http://www.verkehrswissenschaftler.de>
<http://www.uni-stuttgart.de/fovus/>

13.01.2006

Unterstützt von:



Mobilservice
c/o Büro für Mobilität AG
Hirschengraben 2
3011 Bern
Fon/Fax 031 311 93 63 / 67

Redaktion: Julian Baker
redaktion@mobilservice.ch
Geschäftsstelle: Martina Dvoracek
info@mobilservice.ch
<http://www.mobilservice.ch>

Why does car traffic increase when public transport infrastructure is improved ?


Rudolf Pfeleiderer and Martin Dieterich

Introduction

In considering the significance of public transport in the political discussion relating to modal split, one would assume that there are numerous case studies proving that funds allocated to public transport infrastructure yield a reduction in car traffic. Surprisingly, few case studies have been published. In Stuttgart, Germany, a new light rail (S-Bahn) opened in 1985 linking the city with the industrialised region of Böblingen. The promise was reduced car traffic. The result was a substantial increase in car traffic along the corridor of the new S-Bahn.

The following quotation summarizes the result of the investigation (Younes 1990): The Stuttgart case study of a new S-Bahn linking the city of Stuttgart with the industrialized region of Böblingen has some surprising findings. Based on in depth surveys and studies carried out by both the city and the local public transport authority, it is clearly shown that the growth in motor vehicle traffic along the corridor of the new S-Bahn has increased substantially since it was opened and that this increase was significantly more than the increase in traffic for all roads in the city.

See fig. 1.



Source: Landeshauptstadt Stuttgart, Referat Städtebau: Vorher-Nachher-Untersuchung zur S-Bahn nach Böblingen (Auswirkungen auf den Individualverkehr)

Fig. 1. As a result of the opening of the S-Bahn from Stuttgart to Böblingen in 1985, the volume of motor car traffic rose substantially.

The simple model

Two basic laws describing traveller behaviour explain these findings.

1. The travel time budget is in the long run with good approximation constant (speed independent).
2. Non captive travellers choose the faster mode (Mogridge Conjecture, see Allard J., 1987). As long as travelling by car is faster, the car will be chosen. As soon as car travel becomes slower than public transport, motorists transfer and the speed of the two modes will approach equilibrium.

Take the case of a metropolitan area consisting of a densely built-up core zone, surrounded by less densely built-up outskirts. In the core zone car traffic equals capacity (saturated area) and there is a speed equilibrium. In the surrounding outskirts (unsaturated area) car traffic is faster than public transport. See fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Simple model with saturated and unsaturated area

If the speed of public transport is increased, some motorists in the core zone will transfer. Few motorists have to transfer in order to reduce congestion enough for the speed of the private traffic to catch up with the speed of the public transport. The flow of the car traffic remains the same. See fig. 3. But queues at traffic lights are shorter. According to the law of the speed independent time budget the time saved by motorists in the saturated area is transferred into additional private traffic. This is only possible in the unsaturated area. Therefore, the traffic volume in the unsaturated area rises. See fig. 4.




Fig. 3. Flow speed diagram in saturated area




Fig. 4. Flow speed diagram in unsaturated area

The simple formula

It is assumed that in the core zone (saturated area) there is congestion (saturation) in the rush hour. The traffic flow is $F = Q_{max}$ (fig. 3). At the beginning of the rush hour the occupants of Z cars – typically cars driving between the edge and the centre of the core zone – transfer to the improved public transport. Assuming that the time these cars spent in traffic per journey (trip time) averages T_T , then the reduced total car travel time amounts to

$$T_1 = Z T_T$$

The total waiting time for the remaining car traffic at the traffic lights is reduced by the travellers who transferred to public transport by

$$T_W = Z/F$$

Assuming that the duration of the rush hour is T_R , then the total time savings of the car traffic in the core zone can be calculated as

$$T_2 = T_W F T_R = (Z/F) F T_R = Z T_R$$

This is a surprisingly simple relationship. T_2 is the total time which is – according to the law of the speed independent travel time budget – reinvested into the traffic and thus a measure for the induced traffic. The difference between the travel times of the transferred and the induced traffic is

$$T = T_2 - T_1 = Z (T_R - T_T)$$

The car mileage per rush hour induced by the improvement of public transport is thus:

$$N = V T = V Z (T_R - T_T)$$

V is the average speed in the unsaturated area. T_T is typically 25 minutes. T_R can be for example 2 hours. Thus N is positive.

The more motorists transfer, the greater is the induced mileage N and of course N is proportional to the average speed V in the unsaturated area.

Final remark



Fig. 5. Result of improvement of public transport and car traffic

Source of the cartoon: Cerwenka (1996). Origin: Nebelspalter, Switzerland

The increase in motor car traffic can be avoided, if the acceleration of public transport is complemented by an appropriate deceleration of the parallel road traffic.

Literature

- Allard, J. (1987), University College London: A Review of the Traffic Generation Effect of Road Improvements. Transport and Planning, 15th Summer Annual Meeting September 1987, Highway Appraisal and Design, Proceedings of Seminar E.
- Cerwenka, P. (1996): Zuckerbrot und/oder Peitsche zum Umsteigen auf den ÖPNV? Internationales Verkehrswesen 48 (6) pp 27-30.
- Hillman M. (1996): The Future of Public Transport: The Dangers of Viewing Policy through Rose-tinted Spectacles. World Transport Policy & Practice 2, pp 24-27.
- Younes, B.M. (1990): The Effectiveness of New Road Schemes in Urban Areas. Thesis. Department of Civil Engineering, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicin, University of London.

Rudolf Pfeleiderer, Florian-Geyer-Str. 8, D – 70499 Stuttgart, pfeleiderer.stuttgart@t-online.de
 Dr. Martin Dieterich, Röntgenstr. 17, D – 73230 Kirchheim, dieterim@fh-nuertingen.de

[Symposium 2002](#) | [Symposium 2004](#) | [Symposium 2006](#) | [Contact](#)

Proceedings

2nd International Symposium NETWORKS for MOBILITY 2004

The papers to the 54 lectures and the posters to the symposium are published in the **proceedings**, which include the printed abstracts of the contributions, as well as the full versions published in a CD ROM. These can be ordered by e-mail to

fovus-at-fovus.uni-stuttgart.de

Price: 17,99 Euro plus shipping costs.

Contents

Plenary Session I - Introduction

Hans-Peter Dürr, Global Challenges Network - München
Intelligent energy consumption - A key to sustainability

Yoshi Hayashi, Nagoya University - Japan
Integrated land use and transportation planning and policy for sustainable cities and regions

Markos Papageorgiou, Technical University of Crete - Chania, Greece
Overview of road and motorway traffic control strategies

Plenary Session II - Transportation system planning - Pricing and demand

Peter Jones, University of Westminster - London, United Kingdom
Impacts of congestion charging - The London experience

Frank Englmann, Universität Stuttgart
Is value pricing an alternative for charging the use of motorways in Germany?

Michael Henriques, Tetraplan - Copenhagen, Denmark
Intermodal freight transport

Parallel Session A1 - Evaluation of infrastructure measures

Colin Gee, Peter Treuner, Universität Stuttgart
A general concept of integrating transportation and other infrastructure investment planning. The Xuzhou experience

Jan Burnewicz, Elzbieta Adamowicz, University of Gdansk - Sopot, Poland
Transport infrastructure programming in the enlarged European Union

Ágnes Dénesfalvy, Budapest University of Technology and Economics - Budapest, Hungary
IT background and methodology for freight stations classification system of the Hungarian State Railway

Andreas Oetting, DB Netz AG - Frankfurt

Extensive evaluation of infrastructure measures through integrated traffic simulation and demand modelling

Parallel Session B1 - Data provision and traffic surveillance

Nicola Lehnhoff, University of Hannover

Quality of automatic data collection with loop detectors

Thomas Haupt, Udo Heidl, Thomas Friderich, PTV - Karlsruhe

Validate: A new method to generate nationwide traffic data

Stefan Lorkowski, Peter Wagner, DLR - Berlin

Tuning microscopic online simulations of freeway traffic with stationary detector data

Khaled El-Araby, Dorsch Consult - München / Damien Tillet, ISIS - Liège, Belgium / Steve Morello, ISIS - Lyon, France

Advanced traffic monitoring and operations on the E40 - E25 motorway in Liège, Belgium

Parallel Session C1 - Impacts on the natural environment

H.-G. Schwarz v. Raumer, Heide Esswein, Universität Stuttgart /

Jochen Jäger, ETH Zürich - Switzerland

GIS-based analyses on landscape fragmentation by transportation networks -

The case of Baden-Württemberg

Manfred Wacker, Manuel Galster, Universität Stuttgart

Renewable fuels for cross border transportation

Ulrich Rückert, Verkehrsplanung Landesregierung Salzburg - Austria

A method for evaluation and optimisation of noise-reducing measures on railways

Peter Bickel, Universität Stuttgart

Marginal external costs due to environmental and health risks caused by road and rail trans

Plenary Session III - Transportation and environment - Integrating transport and spatial models

Elizabeth Deakin, University of California - Berkeley, USA

Integrated land use and transportation planning: Toward Finding a Green-Gold Path

Tomás de la Barra, Modelística - Caracas, Venezuela

Mobility, location and land markets

Friedemann Kunst, Senate Department of Urban Development - Berlin

Sustainable mobility in metropolitan regions - Problems and approaches to solutions

Plenary Session IV - Traffic control and telematics - Systems and evaluation

Bernhard Friedrich, University of Hannover

Traffic monitoring and control in metropolitan areas

Eckehard Schnieder, TU Braunschweig

Traffic safety and availability - Contradiction or attraction?

Niklas Wahlberg, Volvo Car Corporation - Gothenburg, Sweden

Road traffic safety, a trustworthy context for telematics

Parallel Session A2 - Demand modelling

Parallel Session A2 - Demand modelling

Torsten Luley, Julius Menge, Barbara Lenz, DLR - Berlin
Traditional "homogenous behavioural groups": still valid analysing-tool or scientific anachronism ?

Haldor E. Jochim, Manuel Norta, RWTH Aachen
A traffic resistance model of long-distance travel

Dieter Lohse, Claudia Glücker, Heidrun Teichert, TU Dresden / PTV - Karlsruhe
A demand model for urban commercial transport

Carsten Kemper, University of Hannover
Dynamic traffic assignment with static OD matrices

Parallel Session B2 - Information systems and telematics

Meinte Wildschut, EDS - Utrecht, The Netherlands
Travel information supported by location based technology: new services in a new framework

Frank Hänsel, Lothar Ganzelmeier, Uwe Becker, Eckehard Schnieder, TU Braunschweig
Mobile testbed for the accuracy and availability measurement of satellite navigation system

Ralf Kudlek, Universität Stuttgart
Personal Travel Assistance (PTA) - A telematic system of the future or the past?

Gerd Baumann, Jochen Wiedemann, Hans-Christian Reuss, Universität Stuttgart
Future telematics applications for the fuel consumption reduction of motor vehicles

Parallel Session C2 - Impacts on regional and urban structures

Eckhart Ribbeck, Universität Stuttgart
Urban mobility in megacities - Some reflections on urban structure and density

Fotini Kehagia, Georgios Tsohos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki - Greece
Sustainable Highways: An opportunity for the future

Maurici Ruiz, Joana María Seguí, Joana Martí, Rosa Martínez, Jerònia Ramon, University of Balearic Islands - Mallorca, Spain
Expert system for land use planning of airport surrounding zones

Luca Bellinato, Provincia di Bologna - Italy
Land use planning methodologies on provincial territorial plan

Parallel Session A3 - Intermodality and interoperability

Anja Simma, Pietro Cattaneo, Helmut Schad, ARE - Bern, Switzerland
Travel behaviour as a function of spatial and personal factors: The case of Switzerland

Susanne Scherz, ZIV Darmstadt
Intermodality at Frankfurt Airport - On the way to an integrated transport system air / rail

Bernd Raubal, Universität Stuttgart
Structure and economical potential of freight branch lines in Germany - A pleading for links between networks

Bruno Arbter, Florian Bitzer, Wolfram Ressel, Universität Stuttgart
Modeling and simulation of mobility in ubiquitous computing

Parallel Session B3 - Traffic flow models and traffic control

Thomas Friderich, Michael Landwehr, PTV - Karlsruhe /
Markus Friedrich, Universität Stuttgart

Data management system for transport planning, traveller information and traffic control

Ralf Schollmeyer, Katrin Ramm, Thomas Wiltschko, Roland Bettermann, Universität Stuttgart
Autonomous map-based vehicle positioning for public transport prioritisation

Elmar Brockfeld, Rene Kelpin, Peter Wagner, DLR - Berlin

Performance of car following behaviour in microscopic traffic flow models

Stefan Horter, Martin Schober, Herbert Wehlan, Universität Stuttgart

Advancing an automatic incident detection algorithm regarding ranges of traffic intensity

Parallel Session C3 - Evaluation of environmental and economic impacts

Luis Samaniego, Peter Treuner, Universität Stuttgart

A two-Step optimisation procedure for integrating transportation and other infrastructure investment planning

Barbara Pawlowska, University of Gdansk - Sopot, Poland

Towards the sustainable transport system in CEECs - The Polish experience

F.W.C.J. van de Vooren, Ministry of Transport - Maastricht, The Netherlands /
T. Pauwels, University of Antwerp - Belgium

Impact on transport and economy of a levy on mobility

Chris Nash, Bryan Matthews, Batool Menaz, University of Leeds - United Kingdom

Charges for heavy goods vehicles: EU policy and key national developments

Plenary Session V - Multimodal transportation models and information systems

Carlos Cristóbal-Pinto, Javier Aldecoa Martínez-Conde,
Madrid General Transport Consortium - Spain

Interchange policy for Madrid´s metropolitan bus terminals

Wolfgang Möhlenbrink, Universität Stuttgart

Safety relevant information for telematic databases

Kay Axhausen, ETH Zurich - Switzerland

Costumer or citizen: The dilemma of the traveller

Plenary Session VI - Evaluation of economic, social and environmental impacts

Werner Rothengatter, Universität Karlsruhe

Integrated assessment of economic, social and environmental effects of European transport policy with system dynamics

Peter Allen, Cranfield University - Bedford, United Kingdom

The complexity of integrated modelling: Transport and urban evolution

Markus Friedrich, Universität Stuttgart

Prospects of transportation modelling

Posters

Marion Aschmann, Ralf Kudlek, Universität Stuttgart

Methods in diffusion forecasting and economic traffic evaluation

Roland Bettermann, Thilo Kaufmann, Universität Stuttgart

Upgrading of managing operations in public transport using geodata

upgrading or managing operations in public transport using geodata

David Caubel, Laboratoire d'Économie des Transport, E.N.T.P.E. - Vaulx-en-Velin, France
Accessibility to what, for whom and how? - Methodologies and tools to evaluate chances equality between individuals relating to urban transportation policies

Dorothea Frank, City of Stuttgart / Manfred Wacker, Universität Stuttgart
MOVIMAN - A new approach to public-private cooperation in urban mobility management

Verena Franken, Barbara Lenz, DLR - Berlin
Acceptance of e-information in transport as a pre-condition for successful intermodality

Georg Hertkorn, Peter Wagner, DLR - Berlin
Feed-back of travel times in microscopic travel demand modelling

Jörg Kühlwein, Petra Blank, Thomas Pregger, Rainer Friedrich, Universität Stuttgart
Calculation of high resolution emission data for a conurbation and street canyons

Vladimir Momčilović, Aleksandar Manojlović, Vladimir Papić,
University of Belgrade - Serbia and Montenegro
Economic development level as an important factor in the choice of measures for reducing transport's negative impact on the environment

Rudolf Pfeleiderer, Martin Dieterich, Stuttgart
Why does car traffic increase when public transport infrastructure is improved?

Thomas Pregger, Rainer Friedrich, Universität Stuttgart
Road traffic in Germany as source of fine particle emissions to the atmosphere

Hans-Christian Reuss, Gerd Baumann, Universität Stuttgart
Infrastructure based control of motor vehicles

Eckehart Ribbeck, et al., Universität Stuttgart
Tashkent, Samarqand, Bukhara - Urban research in Uzbekistan

Gianfranco Cau, Francesco Romano, Trenitalia SpA - Firenze, Italy
Passenger information systems on Italian high speed and long distance trains: Present situation and future development

Ajun Sui, Harry Dobeschinsky, Universität Stuttgart
Apron capacity analysis of Beijing Capital International Airport

Heike Twele, TU Berlin
Short-term fluctuations of demand in rail freight traffic

Walter Vogt, Jutta Deffner, Stefan Alber, Universität Stuttgart
Integration of bicycles in the traffic engineering of Latin-American and European medium-s. cities

Manfred Wacker, Manuel Galster, Universität Stuttgart
EBEK - Development and evaluation of railbound waste disposal chains in rural areas

©2004/2005 FOVUS, Universität Stut